### **CHILTERN DISTRICT COUNCIL**

King George V House, King George V Road, Amersham,

Buckinghamshire, HP6 5AW

**Telephone:** 01494 729000 **DX**: 50711

Fax: 01494 586506

**Website:** www.chiltern.gov.uk **Email:** info@chiltern.gov.uk



### **Resources Overview Committee**

Wednesday, 23rd March, 2016 at 6.30 pm

Large & Small Committee Room, King George V House, King George V Road, Amersham

#### AGENDA

- 1 Evacuation Procedures
- 2 Minutes (Pages 3 10)

To sign the Minutes of the meeting held on the 26 January 2016.

- 3 Apologies for Absence
- 4 Declarations of Interest
- 5 28 Day Notice (*Pages 11 12*)

Appendix 1: Cabinet 28 Day Notice (Pages 13 - 16)

Appendix 2: JWCC 28 Day Notice (Pages 17 - 18)

6 Quarter 3 Performance Report 2015/16 (Pages 19 - 22)

Appendix A (Pages 23 - 26)

Appendix B (Pages 27 - 30)

7 Performance Indicator Review 2016/17 (Pages 31 - 32)

Appendix A: Priority Performance Indicators (Pages 33 - 36)

Appendix B: Corporate Indicators (Pages 37 - 40)

Support Officer: Mat Bloxham (01494 732143; mbloxham@chiltern.gov.uk)

8 Quarter 3 2015/16 Write Offs (*Pages 41 - 44*)

Appendix 1: Sundry Debts Write Offs (Pages 45 - 46)

Appendix 2: Housing Benefit & Council Tax Support Write Offs (Pages 47 - 48)

Appendix 3: Council Tax Write Offs (Pages 49 - 50)

Appendix 4: Non Domestic Rates Write Offs (Pages 51 - 52)

9 Exclusion of the Public (if required)

To resolve that under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item(s) of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part I of Schedule 12A of the Act.

**Note:** All Reports will be updated orally at the meeting if appropriate and may be supplemented by additional reports at the Chairman's discretion.

Membership: Resources Overview Committee

Councillors: N M Rose (Chairman)

A J Garth (Vice-Chairman)

A K Bacon S P Berry C J Ford J L Gladwin M J Harrold C M Jones R J Jones J E MacBean D W Phillips M W Shaw D M Varley H M Wallace

C J Wertheim

Date of next meeting - Tuesday, 21 June 2016

If you would like this document in large print or an alternative format please contact 01494 732145; email democraticservices@chiltern.gov.uk

Support Officer: Mat Bloxham (01494 732143; mbloxham@chiltern.gov.uk)

#### CHILTERN DISTRICT COUNCIL

# MINUTES of the Meeting of the RESOURCES OVERVIEW COMMITTEE held on 26 JANUARY 2016

PRESENT: Councillor N M Rose - Chairman

A J Garth - Vice Chairman

Councillors: A K Bacon

S P Berry C J Ford J L Gladwin C M Jones R J Jones J E MacBean M W Shaw D M Varley

**APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE** were received from Councillors D W Phillips and H M Wallace

**ALSO IN ATTENDANCE**: Councillors I A Darby and M J Stannard.

#### 35 MINUTES

The Minutes of the Resources Overview Committee meeting held 18 November 2015, copies of which had been previously circulated, were approved and signed by Councillor N Rose, Chairman of the Committee, as a correct record.

#### 36 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 37 28 DAY NOTICE

The Committee received a report attaching the draft 28-Day Notice which provided a forward look at the Agenda for the next meeting of the Cabinet. The Notice was published on 12 January 2016.

The Director of Resources advised that Performance Indicators Annual Review 2016/17 report would be submitted to the Overview Committees prior to Cabinet for comment as previously agreed (Minute No 32). He added that the issue of improving the timeliness of the quarterly Performance Reports was something that would be done for 2016/17. to ensure that members were receiving the information in a timely manner.

During consideration of this item, members made the following suggestions to improve the information presented to the Overview Committee:-

 It was suggested that the key decision threshold for Chiltern District Council and South Bucks District Council should be reviewed and harmonised.

- In future the Treasury Management Quarterly Reports would be presented to the Resources Overview Committee for comment
- The Homelessness Strategy was appropriate to be presented to Services Overview Committee but any financial implications arising from the Strategy would be picked up as part of the budgeting and budget monitoring processes.
- It was noted that financial implications arising from reports presented to Joint Committees would be reported to the Council through the budget and reporting mechanism

During consideration of this item, Councillor J MacBean joined the meeting at 6.45pm

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the content of the report be noted.

#### 38 BUDGET 2016/17

Consideration was given to the report which provided information affecting the Council's revenue budget for 2016/17 in order for the Cabinet to make recommendations to Council on 24 February regarding the Council's budget and council tax for 2016/17.

The Director of Resources advised that the continuing material reduction in funding to the Council had been anticipated, but was now confirmed to be at a more accelerated pace. Funding reductions were to continue until at least 2019/20 and Chiltern District Council will no longer receive Revenue Support Grant (RSG) after 2016/17; which was due to Chiltern District Council being seen as a low needs and high resource authority. Chiltern was forecast to be in the top ten authorities by reduction in Government Funding assessments by the end of the Spending Review period (2019/20).

For authorities who were no longer in receipt of RSG before 2020/21, they would be subject to additional tariff payments so that the overall reduction in Government funding did not fall only on authorities in receipt of RSG, this could be seen as a 'negative RSG'. Chiltern had responded to the Government on this matter to express concern and seek some means to dampen the impact or phasing in its effects on a more gradual basis. The impact of the additional tariff was outlined in the report as £150K in 2017/18; £480K in 2018/19 and £850K in 2019/20.

The Director of Resources reported that an important source of grant funding for the authority was the New Homes Grant that currently rewarded authorities for each new home by providing a grant equivalent to the national average Band D Council Tax on the property for each of the six years following completion of the property.

The Government was consulting on changing the New Homes Grant system. The consultation was looking at reducing the duration of funding to four years or less, from the current six years; and also suggesting the following:

• Linking payments to authorities having in place an approved Local Plan

- Having different payments for houses created after appeal from those approved by the authority without going to appeal
- Having a minimum baseline for housing growth numbers, above which additional funding would be received. The baseline was proposed to be that the normal growth in properties was 0.25% per annum. For Chiltern this was approximately 110 units.

These changes would impact on the funding the Council would receive from 2017/18 onwards.

Members were advised that growth in business rates above the baseline were subject to a 50% levy, with the levy being additional payments to the Government. The amount of the levy can be reduced if groups of authorities pool their business rates and payments to the Government. As Chiltern and two other Buckinghamshire Districts, South Bucks and Aylesbury Vale, expect to have business rate growth, they had formed a pool for 2016/17 in order to retain more of the growth.

It was reported that since Cabinet had reviewed the draft budget in December there had been five adjustments as follows:-

- Savings had been built in as a result of the Joint Revenues & Benefits Shared Services having been agreed £105K
- Final salary revisions £20K
- Savings arising from changes in the waste contract cost estimates £31K
- The payment to the parishes in respect to the impact of Council Tax Scheme on their tax bases for 2016/17 had been scaled back to £29K to match the reduction in RSG for Chiltern District Council
- Income figures had been updated to reflect the provisional Finance Settlement

It was drawn to members attention that the 2016/17 budget included a contribution to the Capital Projects Reserve to provide additional support to the Capital Programme over the coming years. The need for this was illustrated by the next report on the agenda.

During consideration of this item, Councillor C Ford joined the meeting at 7.00pm

In response to questions from Members, the Director of Resources advised that all Parish Councils had been advised of the reduction in payment in December, and that there would be no further support after 2016/17 as the council was no longer receiving RSG; and in terms of the criteria used for the determining the additional tariff members were advised that this was detailed on the Communities and Local Government website

During consideration of this item, Councillor D Varley joined the meeting at 7.10pm.

The Deputy Leader was in attendance at the meeting and added to the debate that it was key to not only plan for the current year in respect of the budget but

due to continuing pressures on finances the Council needed to look at long term impacts and implications to plan for this.

The Leader of the Council also contributed in response to a member's question in respect of the issues being raised nationally to highlight the concerns of councils facing the additional tariff. The Leader confirmed that Chiltern District Council were raising this, along with other authorities, at a national level.

Members felt it was important to explain to residents what the Government was doing with respect of the additional tariff on the District. It was felt that following the formal decision of Council in respect of Council Tax Setting the communications around this should include reference to the requirement on the Council to pay an additional tariff to the Government.

#### **RECOMMENDED TO CABINET:**

#### Revenue Budget 2016/17

1) That the Revenue budget for 2016/17 be approved, as summarised in the table below, and recommend this to Council.

|                          | 2015/16 | 2016/17 |        |        | 2019/20 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
|                          | £k      | £k      | £k     | £k     | £k      |
| RSG                      | -1,055  | -407    |        |        |         |
| Business Rates           | -1,355  | -1,666  | -1,690 | -1,730 | -2,170  |
| Additional Tariff        |         |         | 150    | 480    | 850     |
| New Homes Grant          | -734    | -1,047  | -667   | -438   | -448    |
| CT Freeze Grant          | -70     |         |        |        |         |
| Investment Income        | -110    | -140    | -80    | -60    | -60     |
| Collection Surplus       | -100    | -23     | -20    | -20    | -20     |
| Contribution to Parishes | 80      | 29      |        |        |         |
| Total Income             | -3,344  | -3,254  | -2,307 | -1,768 | -1,848  |
| Service Expenditure      | 9,101   | 9,104   | 9,312  | 9,545  | 10,114  |
| Notional Interest        | 70      | 54      | 30     | 10     | 10      |
| Change in Reserves:      |         |         |        |        |         |
| Elections                | -76     | 20      |        |        | -80     |
| Capital Contribution     | 394     | 394     | 394    | 394    | 394     |
| LDF Fund                 | -306    | -163    |        |        |         |
| Capital Projects         | 1,307   | 1,197   |        |        |         |
| Total Net Expenditure    | 10,490  | 10,606  | 9,736  | 9,949  | 10,438  |
| Precept Required         | 7,146   | 7,352   | 7,429  | 8,181  | 8,590   |
| Council Tax Base         | 43,143  | 43,560  | 43,660 | 43,910 | 44,060  |
| Band D                   | 165.62  | 168.77  | 172.13 | 175.56 | 179.05  |
|                          |         |         |        |        |         |

|                 |        |            | 2015/16<br>£k | 2016/17<br>£k | 2017/18<br>£k | 2018/19<br>£k | 2019/20<br>£k |
|-----------------|--------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Precept<br>Fund | on     | Collection |               |               |               |               |               |
| Total colle     | ected  |            | 7,146         | 7,352         | 7,515         | 7,709         | 7,889         |
| Surplus/S       | hortfa | ıll        | 0             | 0             | -86           | 472           | 701           |

- 2) That in total £29,000 be provided to Chiltern District Council parishes in respect of the impact of Council Tax Scheme on their tax bases for 2016/17.
- 3) That the following use of earmarked reserves for 2016/17 be agreed:
  - Local Development Plan £163,500
- 4) That the following additions to earmarked reserves for 2016/17 be agreed:

Capital – Funding replacement refuse vehicles, £394k Capital – Funding of future capital programme, £1,197k.

- 5) That a budget requirement of £10,495k be approved, which will result in a District council tax of £168.77 for a Band D property.
- 6) That the level of fees and charges for 2016/17 already considered by Portfolio Holders as part of the information underpinning their budgets be confirmed
- 7) That the advice of the Director of Resources be noted (Appendix A).

#### **Setting the Council Tax**

8) That the report be made available to all Members of the Council in advance of the Council Tax setting meeting on 24th February, and a final report is produced for the Council meeting incorporating the information from preceptors, and the final decisions of the Cabinet on the budget.

### 39 CAPITAL PROGRAMME AND REPAIRS & RENEWALS PROGRAMME 2016/17 TO 2019/20

Consideration was given to the report that outlined the proposed Capital Programme for 2016/17 – 2019/20; and the proposed Repairs and Renewals Programme for 2016/17 – 2019/20.

The key table in the report highlighted the current funding position of the programme to 2019/20

The Director of Resources advised that the impact of funding the programme on available capital resources outlined in the table meant that the currently available capital resources were likely to be exhausted by the end of 2018/19.

| Capital Resources                         | Latest<br>Budget<br>15/16<br>£ | Original<br>Budget<br>16/17<br>£ | Original<br>Budget<br>17/18<br>£ | Original<br>Budget<br>18/19<br>£ | Original<br>Budget<br>19/20<br>£ |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Opening Capital Resources                 | 2,680,222                      | 2,459,218                        | 1,266,154                        | 376,257                          | 31,767                           |
| New Capital Receipts                      |                                |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| New General Capital<br>Contributions      | 1,307,486                      |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| Use of Capital Receipts/<br>Contributions | -1,528,490                     | -1,193,064                       | -889,897                         | -344,490                         | -344,490                         |
| Closing Capital Resources                 | 2,459,218                      | 1,266,154                        | 376,257                          | 31,767                           | -312,723                         |

Members raised questions in relation to the following which were responded to by the Director of Resources:-

- Community Capital Sums programme
- Paper Sort Reinstatement
- The Council's contribution to the Lords Mill Weir works
- Leisure Centres funding
- ICT Strategy

During consideration of this item, Councillor J Wertheim left the meeting at 7.30pm.

#### RECOMMENDED TO CABINET:

#### That Cabinet recommend to Council:

- i) That the Capital Programme for 2016/17 2019/20 as set out in Appendix A be approved;
- ii) That the Repairs and Renewals Programme for 2016/17 2019/20 as set out in Appendix B be approved; and
- iii) That the implication for the Financial Strategy of the proposed programme be noted.

#### 40 TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2016/17

Consideration was given to the Treasury Management Strategy and related policies that should be adopted by the Council for 2016/17.

The Director of Resources advised that the Council was required to formally review its treasury management policies each year as part of determining what level of returns will be achieved from investments. The format of the treasury management policies was defined by the Code of Practice adopted by the Council, and was required to be approved by the Council on recommendation from the Cabinet. The Treasury Management policies underpin the strategy for the year in question, which seek to achieve a level of investment return.

The Treasury Management Strategy 2016/17 was attached to the report as Appendix 1. The proposed strategy was as follows, and made appropriate

recognition of the Government's advice to prioritise security and liquidity over returns.

- Basic cashflow requirement of up to £6m which will not be invested for more than one year, expected return averaging 0.5%
- Core investment cash of £15m, of which £9m can be invested for durations longer than two years.
- The long term investments can include property and bond funds and could be expected to deliver returns of at least 3%.

The expected return for 2016/17 from the proposed strategy was £140,000.

The Director of Resources advised that the Council's treasury consultants, Capita Asset Services were appointed under a joint contract with South Bucks District Council and provided advice in terms of credit rating and provided access to member training events and technical expertise which provided good value for money. The contract with Capital was due for renewal during 2016. Even though the contract was low value and delivering good value for money members felt it was important to undertake the joint re-tendering.

During consideration of this item, Councillors M Shaw and R Jones left the meeting at 7.43pm.

The Director of Resources confirmed that a report would be presented to a future meeting of Support Services PAG to outline long term investment options. In response to a Member's question, it was noted that the criteria for the short term borrowing limit was based on judgement rather than a fixed calculation to allow for flexibility depending on the circumstances that might give rise to the need for short term borrowing.

#### RECOMMENDED TO CABINET:

That the Annual Treasury Management Strategy for 2016/17 be recommended to Council, including approving the following appendices to the Annual Investment Strategy (Appendix 1):

- Appendix 1A Annual Investment Strategy Policies
- Appendix 1B Prudential Indicators including the borrowing limits
- Appendix 1C the Minimum Revenue Provision method to be used in 2016/17

#### 41 SERVICE PLAN SUMMARIES

The Committee considered the report which provided a summary of each of the service plans produced by service areas within the Council.

The Service Plans provided a summary of achievements from the current year and an overview of what each service aims to deliver for 2016-17; and looked at the aims and achievements, covering the following range of areas:

- Shared Services Programme
- Know your customer and equalities
- Performance Indicators and risks
- Costs and cost comparison information

The following points were raised for possible inclusion in the Services Plans:

- Further reference to the provision and monitoring of litter bins
- Progress of ICT Shared Service Review
- KPIs outcomes on enforcement

It was agreed that Performance Indicators targets for 16/17 were to be presented to the Overview Committees for comment prior to submission to Cabinet and that the streamlining of quarterly reporting with the decision making process would be improved so members received information in a more timely manner.

#### **RECOMMENDED TO CABINET:**

That the content of the Service Plans be noted.

The meeting ended at 7.56pm

| SUBJECT:    | 28 Day Notice                                       |
|-------------|---|
| REPORT OF:  | Portfolio Holder for Support Services               |
| RESPONSIBLE | Head of Legal & Democratic Services                 |
| OFFICER     |   |
| REPORT      | Mat Bloxham, 01494 732143, mbloxham@chiltern.gov.uk |
| AUTHOR      |   |
| WARD/S      | All   |
| AFFECTED    |   |

#### 1. Report

The Access to Information Regulation 2012 place a requirement on Councils to publish a notice 28 days before every executive or joint executive meeting detailing all Key Decisions and Private Reports to be considered. The <a href="28 Day Notice/">28 Day Notice/</a> Forward Plan are published on the Council's website.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The Cabinet is asked to note the following draft 28 Day Notice / Forward Plan notices:

- Cabinet: 5 April (Appendix 1)
- CDC & WDC Joint Waste Collection Committee: 7 April (Appendix 2)
- Joint Committee: Date to be confirmed (No items on this notice)
- Joint Waste Committee for Bucks: 16 June (No items on this notice)
- Chilterns Crematorium Joint Committee: 23 June (No items on this notice)

| Background | None. |
|------------|-------|
| Papers:    |       |

Appendix 1

#### 28-DAY NOTICE - FORWARD PLAN

## Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

This is a Notice of an intention to make a Key Decision on behalf of the Local authority (Regulation 9) and an intention to meet in private to consider those items marked as 'Private Reports' (Regulation 5).

A further Notice (the 'Agenda') will be published no less than 5 working-days before the date of the Cabinet meeting and will be available at <a href="https://www.chiltern.gov.uk/democracy">www.chiltern.gov.uk/democracy</a>

| Leader (Councillor Isobel Darby)      |   |   |                             |  |   |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup> | Report Title & Summary <sup>2</sup>   | Consultation <sup>3</sup>                       | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date | Private Report<br>(Y/N) and<br>Reason Private <sup>4</sup> | Lead Officer <sup>5</sup>   |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Bucks Advantage Business Plan: to consider a report on the Bucks Advantage business plan  |   | Cabinet<br>5 April 16       | Yes<br>(Paragraph 3)                                       | Anita Cacchioli<br>Email: ACacchioli<br>@chiltern.gov.uk                        |  |  |
| No                                    | Quarter 3 Performance Report 2015/16: This report monitors performance against pre- agreed targets and seeks approval for any proposed changes to targets.                        | Resources<br>23 Mar 16<br>Services<br>15 Mar 16 | Cabinet<br>5 April 16       | No   | Rachel Prance<br>Email: rachel.prance<br>@southbucks.gov.uk                     |  |  |
| No                                    | Performance Indicator Review 2016/17: This report introduces proposed changes to the performance indicators for 2016-17.  | Resources<br>23 Mar 16                          | Cabinet 5 April 16          | No   | Rachel Prance Email: rachel.prance @southbucks.gov.uk                           |  |  |
| No                                    | JointBusinessPlanrefresh2016/21:ThisreportpresentstheCouncil'srefreshedJointBusinessPlanwithChilternDistrictCouncil,2016-21,inlinewithServicePlanning2016-17.                     |   | Cabinet<br>5 April 16       | No   | Rachel Prance Email: rachel.prance @southbucks.gov.uk                           |  |  |
| Yes                                   | HS2 Update: setting out Select Committee recommendations, assurances secured and seeking discussion on Qualifying Authority status as well as resources implications.             | HS2 Members<br>Steering<br>Group                | Cabinet<br>5 April 16       | Yes<br>(Paragraph 3)                                       | Ifath Nawaz<br>inawa@chiltern.gov.uk<br>Ben Coakley<br>bcoakley@chiltern.gov.uk |  |  |
| Yes                                   | HS2 Petitioning to House of Lords Report: setting out history to petitions for extended tunnel, counsel's advice and financial implications of pursuing matter to House of Lords. | HS2 Members<br>Steering<br>Group                | Cabinet<br>5 April 16       | Yes<br>(Paragraph 3)                                       | Ifath Nawaz<br>inawa@chiltern.gov.uk<br>Ben Coakley<br>bcoakley@chiltern.gov.uk |  |  |

Notice to be Published: 30 May 2016 Classification: OFFICIAL

Appendix 1
Classification: OFFICIAL

| Support Services - Deputy Leader (Councillor Mike Stannard) |                                     |                           |                             |  |                           |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup>                       | Report Title & Summary <sup>2</sup> | Consultation <sup>3</sup> | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date | Private Report<br>(Y/N) and Reason<br>Private <sup>4</sup> | Lead Officer <sup>5</sup> |  |  |
|   |                                     |                           |                             |  |                           |  |  |

|                                       | Sustainable Development (Councillor Peter Martin)  |                           |                              |  |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup> | Report Title & Summary <sup>2</sup>  | Consultation <sup>3</sup> | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date  | Private Report<br>(Y/N) and Reason<br>Private <sup>4</sup> | Lead Officer <sup>5</sup>                       |  |  |  |
| No                                    | Proposed Builders Partnership Scheme: To consider a report on a proposed pilot scheme to provide customers with information about builders   |                           | Cabinet<br>5 April 16        | No   | Lynn Heckford  lynn.heckford @southbucks.gov.uk |  |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Chalfont St Peter Neighbourhood Plan: To report the outcome of the legal challenge to the neighbourhood plan and decide how to progress the plan, including the possibility of further consultation and ultimately the need for a new referendum | SDPAG<br>16 March 16      | Cabinet<br><b>5 April 16</b> | No   | Peter Beckford<br>pbeckford<br>@chiltern.gov.uk |  |  |  |

|                                       | Environment (Councillor – Mike Smith)   |                           |                             |  |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup> | Report Title & Summary <sup>2</sup>   | Consultation <sup>3</sup> | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date | Private Report<br>(Y/N) and Reason<br>Private <sup>4</sup> | Lead Officer <sup>5</sup>                       |  |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Dissolution of the Joint Waste Committee for Bucks: To agree the JWC recommendation to dissolve the JWC, waiver the 12 month notice period and consider any residual matters including how remaining funds would be dealt with. |                           | Cabinet<br>28 June 16       | No   | Chris Marchant<br>cmarchant@<br>chiltern.gov.uk |  |  |  |

Notice to be Published: 30 May 2016 Classification: OFFICIAL

Appendix 1 Classification: OFFICIAL

|                                       | Community, Health & Housing (Councillor Graham Harris)   |                           |                              |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup> | Report Title & Summary <sup>2</sup>  | Consultation <sup>3</sup> | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date  | Private Report<br>(Y/N) and<br>Reason<br>Private <sup>4</sup> | Lead Officer <sup>5</sup>                              |  |  |
| No                                    | Chiltern District Council Strategic Housing Framework 2014-15: To receive an update on affordable housing delivery and to consider the Council's draft Strategic Housing Framework 2014-15 | CHHPAG<br>20 June 16      | Cabinet<br><b>28 June 16</b> | No  | Michael Veryard<br>Email: mveryard<br>@chiltern.gov.uk |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Regulators Code for shared services: To consider the shared service regulators enforcement code  | CHHPAG<br>20 June 16      | Cabinet<br>28 June 16        | No  | Martin Holt<br>Email: mholt<br>@chiltern.gov.uk        |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Shared Service Food and Health and Safety Business Plans: To consider a shared service food and health & safety business plans   | CHHPAG<br>20 June 16      | Cabinet 28 June 16           | No  | Martin Holt<br>Email: mholt<br>@chiltern.gov.uk        |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Homelessness Strategy: To consider a joint Homelessness Strategy   | CHHPAG<br>20 June 16      | Cabinet<br>28 June 16        | No  | Martin Holt<br>Email: mholt<br>@chiltern.gov.uk        |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Housing Strategy (Framework): To consider a joint Housing Strategy or Housing Framework  | CHHPAG<br>20 June 16      | Cabinet<br>28 June 16        | No  | Martin Holt<br>Email: mholt<br>@chiltern.gov.uk        |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Private Sector Housing<br>Strategy: To consider a joint<br>Private Sector Housing Strategy<br>and Housing Financial<br>Assistance Policy   | CHHPAG<br>20 June 16      | Cabinet<br><b>28 June 16</b> | No  | Martin Holt<br>Email: mholt<br>@chiltern.gov.uk        |  |  |

| Customer Services (Councillor – Fred Wilson) |                                     |                           |                             |   |                           |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup>        | Report Title & Summary <sup>2</sup> | Consultation <sup>3</sup> | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date | Private<br>Report (Y/N)<br>and Reason<br>Private <sup>4</sup> | Lead Officer <sup>5</sup> |  |  |
|  |                                     |                           |                             |   |                           |  |  |

Notice to be Published: 30 May 2016 Classification: OFFICIAL

## Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

This is a Notice of an intention to make a Key Decision on behalf of the Local authority (Regulation 9) and an intention to meet in private to consider those items marked as 'Private Reports' (Regulation 5).

A further Notice (the 'Agenda') will be published no less than 5 working-days before the date of the Cabinet meeting and will be available at <a href="Chiltern District Council">Chiltern District Council</a> & <a href="Wycombe">Wycombe</a> District Council</a>

## CHILTERN & WYCOMBE JOINT WASTE COLLECTION COMMITTEE (JWCC)

|                                       | Meeting: 7 April 2016 (WDC)   |                           |                             |  |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Key<br>Decision<br>(Y/N) <sup>1</sup> | Report Title &<br>Summary <sup>2</sup>  | Consultation <sup>3</sup> | Decision<br>Maker &<br>Date | Private<br>Report<br>(Y/N) and<br>Reason<br>Private <sup>4</sup> | Contact Officer and Telephone<br>Number (01494) |  |  |  |
| No                                    | Waste Service Highlight Report: Update on the Joint Waste Service   |                           | JWCC<br>7 April 16          | No   | Sally Gordon<br>sgordon@chiltern.gov.uk         |  |  |  |
| Yes                                   | Joint Waste Team<br>Review: To consider<br>the proposal for a joint<br>Chiltern, South Bucks<br>and Wycombe Waste<br>Team |                           | JWCC<br>7 April 16          | Yes<br>(Para. 1, 3<br>& 4)                                       | Chris Marchant<br>cmarhant@chiltern.gov.uk      |  |  |  |
| No                                    | Joint Waste Contract<br>Update: To receive an<br>update on the joint<br>waste contract                                    |                           | JWCC<br>7 April 16          | Yes<br>(Para. 1, 3<br>& 4)                                       | Sue Markham sue.markham@southbucks.gov.uk       |  |  |  |
| No                                    | Recycling Centre and<br>Flats Programme: To<br>receive an update on<br>the recycling and flats<br>programme               |                           | JWCC<br>7 April 16          | No   | Sally Gordon<br>sgordon@chiltern.gov.uk         |  |  |  |

| SUBJECT:            | Chiltern District Council Performance Report – Q3 2015-16 |
|---------------------|---|
| REPORT OF:          | Leader of the Council – Councillor Isobel Darby           |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICER | Acting Chief Executive – Bob Smith                        |
| REPORT<br>AUTHOR    | Rachel Prance (01494 732903) Sarah Woods (01494 586 800)  |
| WARD/S<br>AFFECTED  | Report applies to whole district                          |

#### 1. Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to outline the performance of Council services against performance indicators and service objectives during September to December 2015.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Cabinet is asked to note this report.

#### 2. Executive Summary

Overview of performance indicators (PIs) against targets across the Council:

| Portfolio                   | No<br>of<br>Pls | PI on<br>target<br>☑ | PI slightly below target | PI off target | Unkn<br>own /<br>Data<br>only |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Leader                      | 3               | 1                    | 0                        | 1             | 1                             |
| Community, health & housing | 14              | 4                    | 0                        | 4             | 6                             |
| Sustainable development     | 10              | 5                    | 4                        | 0             | 1                             |
| Environment                 | 6               | 1                    | 1                        | 0             | 4                             |
| Support services            | 7               | 3                    | 2                        | 1             | 1                             |
| Customer services           | 5               | 4                    | 0                        | 0             | 1                             |
| Total Pls                   | 45              | 18                   | 7                        | 6             | 14                            |

#### 3. Reasons for Recommendations

- 3.1This reports factual annual performance against pre-agreed targets. Management Team, Cabinet, Council and Resources Overview & Services Overview Committees receive regular updates detailing our progress towards service plan objectives, performance targets and strategic risks, in line with our Performance and Improvement Framework.
- 3.2 Two detailed performance tables accompany this report:
  - Appendix A Priority performance indicators 2015-16
  - Appendix B Quarterly corporate performance indicators 2015-16.

#### 4. Key points to note this quarter:

- 4.10f the five off-target PIs, two are priority PIs. Please refer to the appendices for full details.
- 4.2 Of the 14 unknown PIs, four are provided for information only, eight are not reported this quarter and two relate to new PIs for this year which are awaiting targets to be set, or the method of calculation has not yet been finalised.
- 4.3 Community, health & housing: the four PIs which failed to meet targets relate to housing, please refer to the appendices to view the reasons for this. Three are linked to the national increase in demand for temporary accommodation, which a government briefing paper states<sup>1</sup> is 11% nationally year on year at March 2015, with a further 3% increase by June 2015, the biggest single area impacted being London.
- 4.4 Leader's: the PI which failed to meet target related to voluntary leavers as a percentage of the workforce. A report is being prepared for Personnel Committee, analysing this information. Further to the request from the Resources Overview Committee in November 2015 that long term and short term sickness are split out when reporting on working days lost due to sickness absence, the Human Resources Manager is currently preparing a report for the personnel committee to this effect. If agreed this would come into place from 1/4/16.

#### 5. Consultation

Not applicable.

#### 6. Options

Not applicable.

#### 7. Corporate Implications

- 7.1 Financial Performance Management assists in identifying value for money.
- 7.2 Legal None specific to this report.
- 7.3 Crime and Disorder, Environmental Issues, ICT, Partnership, Procurement, Social Inclusion, Sustainability reports on aspects of performance in these areas.

#### 8. Links to Council Policy Objectives

Performance management helps to ensure that performance targets set through the service planning process are met and any dips in performance are identified and resolved in a timely manner. This report links to all three of the Council's objectives, listed below:

Objective 1 - Efficient and effective customer focused services

Objective 2 - Safe, healthy and cohesive communities

Objective 3 - Conserve the environment and promote sustainability

#### 9. Next Step

Once approved, this report and appendices will be published on the website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN02110/SN02110.pdf)

**Chiltern District Council** 

Item 6
Cabinet 5 April 2016, Overviews: 23 March and 15 March

| Background | N/A |
|------------|-----|
| Papers:    |     |

Annuadiy A Priority Pla 2015 16 O2 CDC

| Appendi     | x A - Priority Pls 2015-16 Q  | 3 - CDC           |                   |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |                            |                  |   |
|-------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| Code        | Title   | 2014/15<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Target | Apr-15 | May-15 | Jun-15 | Jul-15 | Aug-15 | Sep-15 | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 | Target<br>2015/16<br>(YTD) | Traffic<br>Light | Latest Note   |
| Leader's    |   |                   |                   |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |                            |                  |   |
| Commun      | Working days lost due to sickness absence   | 9.4               | 7.6               | 8.62   | 8.1    | 9.2    | 8.61   | 8.45   | 8.46   | 8.64   | 8.45   | 8.16   |        |        |        | 11                         | <b>V</b>         | 88.54 for December + 1036.36 = 1124.90(info taken from Workforce)/183.77(average FTE figure) = 6.12/9*12=8.16 Further to the request from the Resources Overview Committee in November 2015 that long term and short term sickness are split out when reporting on working days lost due to sickness absence, the Human Resources Manager is currently preparing a report for the CDC personnel committee to this effect. If agreed this would come into place from 1/4/16. |
| Commun      | ity, Health and Housing   |                   | l                 | l .    | l      |        |        | l      | l      | l      | l      |        | I      | I      |        |                            |                  | There has been a small decrease   |
| CdCmSf1     | Percentage reduction in<br>burglaries from dwellings<br>year on year for Chiltern<br>(monthly)  | 30.30%            | data only         | n/a    | 15.80% | 10%    | 3%     | -4.30% | -2.10% | 4.10%  | 9.50%  | 2%     |        |        |        | data only                  | n/a              | There has been a small decrease compared to the previous rolling year. Between January and December 2015 there were 193 burglaries compared to 197 the previous year.   |
| 23<br>CdHS1 | Number of applicants with/expecting children who have been in B & B accommodation for longer than 6 weeks (snapshot figure at end of month) | 1                 | 0                 | 2      | 2      | 2      | 2      | 3      | 3      | 4      | 3      | 2      |        |        |        | 0                          | ×                | Of the remaining two, one is leaving B&B following an intentionality decision and the other is currently pending a review.  |
| CdHS8       | Number of households<br>living in temporary<br>accommodation (snapshot<br>at the end of the month)  | 25                | 22                | 31     | 28     | 33     | 33     | 32     | 37     | 34     | 31     | 28     |        |        |        | 21                         | ×                | This reflects the national trend with significant demand for temporary accommodation arising from an upturn in applications and limited opportunities to move on existing TA occupiers, due to a low number of vacancies arising in social housing stock. Officers are continuing to work to reduce numbers in TA through use of direct lettings and focus or prevention measures where possible.   |
| Sustainal   | ble Development   |                   |                   |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |                            |                  | <u> </u>  |

Page 1 Classification: OFFICIAL

| Code                  | Title   | 2014/15<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Target | Apr-15  | May-15  | Jun-15  | Jul-15  | Aug-15  | Sep-15  | Oct-15  | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 | Target<br>2015/16<br>(YTD) | Traffic<br>Light | Latest Note   |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------|------------------|---|
| CdSD2                 | Special measures: speed of processing major applications, for assessment in Oct/Nov 2016 (cumulative) | 86.84%            | 41.00%            | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 95.24% | 95.24% |        |        |        | 51.00%                     | <b>V</b>         | Review period is 1st July 2014 - 30th June 2016. 19 out of 19 major applications during this period processed within time. If performance falls below 51% at the end of the monitoring period, the Council will be placed into special measures.  No Major applications were determined in December 2015. |
| <sup>CdSD5</sup> Page | Special measures: quality<br>of major applications, for<br>assessment in Oct/Nov<br>2016 (cumulative) | 8.00%             | 19.00%            | 8.00%   | 7.40%   | 7.10%   | 6.90%   | 6.90%   | 6.90%   | 6.67%   | 6.25%  | 6.25%  |        |        |        | 19.00%                     | <b>V</b>         | Period for major applications determined is: 1st January 2014 - 31st December 2015. Period for appeals overturned against the applications determined in this period is to 30th September 2016. If performance falls above 19%, the Council will be placed into special measures.                         |
| е<br>24<br>CdSD10     | Processing of planning applications: minor applications processed within 8 weeks (cumulative)         | 80.99%            | 70.00%            | 84.21%  | 80.39%  | 79.71%  | 83.84%  | 82.61%  | 82.22%  | 80.92%  | 81.76% | 81.67% |        |        |        | 70.00%                     | <b>√</b>         | For the year to date, 156 out of 191 aplications were processed on time.  |
| CdSD11                | Processing of planning applications: other applications processed within 8 weeks (cumulative)         | 94.33%            | 90.00%            | 93.39%  | 89.66%  | 91.74%  | 93.33%  | 92.16%  | 91.93%  | 92.07%  | 91.74% | 91.71% |        |        |        | 92.00%                     | •                | For the year to date, 885 out of 965 were processed on time.  |
| Environm              | ent   |                   |                   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |                            |                  |   |
| CdWR3                 | Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting (cumulative)                   | 50.32%            | 56.00%            |         |         | 54.70%  |         |         | 51.60%  |         |        | 52.29% |        |        |        | 57.00%                     | •                | Jointly reported for Chiltern and Wycombe as per the joint contract. Provisional figure, subject to verification. Work is being undertaken to improve this percentage.  |
| Customer<br>CdRB1     | Speed of processing -<br>new HB/CTB claims (by<br>period monthly)                                     | 16.39             | 18                | 17.08   | 16.46   | 15.58   | 17.51   | 17.47   | 16.56   | 13.9    | 20.24  | 15.19  |        |        |        | 18                         | <b>V</b>         | pend  |

|   | J        | >        |
|---|----------|----------|
| • | Ć        | 7        |
| • | ζ        | 7        |
|   | <u>(</u> | ַ        |
|   | 7        | ,        |
|   | Ξ        | <u>_</u> |
|   | >        | <        |
|   | ٦        | _        |

| Code  | Title   | 2014/15<br>Actual | 2014/15<br>Target | Apr-15 | May-15 | Jun-15 | Jul-15 | Aug-15 | Sep-15 | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 | Target<br>2015/16<br>(YTD) | Traffic<br>Light | Latest Note |
|-------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| CdRB2 | Speed of processing -<br>changes of circumstances<br>for HB/CTB claims (by<br>period monthly) | 3.09              | 5                 | 5.48   | 4.1    | 4.74   | 4.68   | 4.91   | 4.42   | 4.55   | 4.99   | 4.74   |        |        |        | 5                          | $\checkmark$     |             |
| CdRB3 | % of Council Tax collected (cumulative)   | 99.30%            | 99.00%            | 5.35%  | 15.23% | 24.88% | 34.47% | 44.04% | 53.77% | 63.38% | 78.81% | 82.69% |        |        |        | 99%<br>(57.75%)            | V                |             |
| CdRB4 | Percentage of Non-<br>domestic Rates Collected<br>(cumulative)                                | 98.60%            | 98.00%            | 9.87%  | 19.67% | 28.73% | 37.66% | 46.20% | 55.60% | 63.50% | 72.49% | 81.34% |        |        | ·      | 98%<br>(57.17%)            | $\checkmark$     |             |

#### Appendix B - CDC Quarterly Corporate Performance Indicator Report - Q3 2015-16

|                  | Excludes Priority Performance Indicators - see Appendix A  In It is Pl is below target  In It is Pl is below target  In It is Pl is below target  |                  |                             |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     |                     |  |
|------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| KEY              | This PI is below target   | This PI is       | s slightly belo             | w target        |                 | ✓ This PI       | is on target    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     |                     |  |
| PI code          | Name  | 2014/15<br>Value | Annual<br>target<br>2014/15 | Apr-15<br>value | May-15<br>value | Jun-15<br>value | Jul-15<br>value | Aug-15<br>value | Sep-15<br>value | Oct-15<br>value | Nov-15<br>value | Dec-15<br>value | Jan-16<br>value | Feb-16<br>value | Mar-16<br>value | Annual<br>target<br>2015/16 | Traffic light<br>(latest<br>actual) | Responsible officer | Latest notes   |
| Leader's p       |   |                  | ı                           | 1               |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     | 1                   |  |
| CdCP1<br>(C)     | Number of unique visitors to the main website (by period)   | 288,504          | data only                   | 26,463          | 33,573          | 25,476          | 25,313          | 23,007          | 28,084          | 27,049          | 24,898          | 23,610          |                 |                 |                 | data only                   | n/a                                 | Rachel<br>Prance    |  |
| CdHR2<br>(C)     | Voluntary leavers as a % of workforce (extrapolated for the year)   | new PI           | new PI                      |                 |                 | 21.90%          |                 |                 | 22.00%          |                 |                 | 20.20%          |                 |                 |                 | 8%                          | X                                   | Judy<br>Benson      | 9 leavers during quarter 3 plus 24 for Q1&Q2 = 33, average headcount of 217.67. Extrapolated, this equates to 44 for the full year, 20.21% (448/217.67%).  |
| Communit         | y, health and housing   |                  |                             |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     |                     |  |
| CdCL1<br>(C)     | Customer satisfaction rating at the Chiltern leisure facilities   | new PI           | new PI                      |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | annual PI       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | t.b.a.                      | n/a                                 | Martin Holt         |  |
| CdCL2<br>(C)     | Total participation in physical activities delivered through the GLL community engagement plan (by period)  | new PI           | new PI                      |                 |                 | 775             |                 |                 | 1,496           |                 |                 | 2,528           |                 |                 |                 | 6,000<br>(1,500)            | <b>7</b>                            | Martin Holt         | Activity tends to be higher in school holidays.  |
| CdCL3            | Total number of users at all leisure centres (by period)  | 874,748          | 840,000                     |                 |                 | 228,569         |                 |                 | 222,228         |                 |                 | 228,037         |                 |                 |                 | 875,000<br>(218750)         | $\overline{\checkmark}$             | Martin Holt         |  |
| agmsf2<br>(C) 27 | Percentage reduction in violent offences against a person, rolling year on year   | data only        | data only                   |                 |                 | -14.7%          |                 |                 | -36.90%         |                 |                 | 46.10%          |                 |                 |                 | data only                   | n/a                                 | Martin Holt         | There has been a 46.1% increase in violent offences against the person which may be as a result of changes to reporting standards. The police are reviewing the detail behind this figure.   |
| CdHS2<br>(C)     | Number of affordable homes<br>delivered by (i) new build (ii)<br>vacancies generated by local<br>authority scheme (iii)<br>acquisition of existing<br>properties for social housing<br>(cumulative) | 42               | 33                          |                 |                 | 4               |                 |                 | 13              |                 |                 | 18              |                 |                 |                 | 33<br>(16.50)               | X                                   | Martin Holt         | Total comprises (i) 18 new build properties (4 in converted office block at The Chequers, Chesham, and 14 in redevelopment at Wallers Way (former Amersham and Wycombe college site of Lycrome Road in Chesham), (ii) 0 vacancies generated and (iii) 0 acquisitions (Paradigm has put acquisition programme on hold while it reviews its overall business plan following Government policy announcements) |
| CdHS3i<br>(C)    | Average Length of stay in B & B temporary accommodation for all households (snapshot at end of quarter)   | 3.3              | 5                           |                 |                 | 16              |                 |                 | 9.2             |                 |                 | 18              |                 |                 |                 | 5                           | X                                   | Martin Holt         | An increase in the demand for temporary accommodation, reflecting national trends, and a low turnover of social housing tenancies has resulted in households having to be accommodated in bed and breakfast accommodation for longer periods until the can be moved on to alternative housing.   |
| CdHS4<br>(C)     | Number of private sector<br>dwellings vacant for more than<br>6 months and returned to<br>occupation following local<br>authority intervention  | 26               | 40                          | annual PI       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 40                          | ?                                   | Martin Holt         | Reported annually.   |

| <u>KEY</u>                    | This PI is below target   | This PI is       | s slightly belo             | w target        |                 | ☑ This PI       | is on target    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     | •                   |   |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| PI code                       | Name  | 2014/15<br>Value | Annual<br>target<br>2014/15 | Apr-15<br>value | May-15<br>value | Jun-15<br>value | Jul-15<br>value | Aug-15<br>value | Sep-15<br>value | Oct-15<br>value | Nov-15<br>value | Dec-15<br>value | Jan-16<br>value | Feb-16<br>value | Mar-16<br>value | Annual<br>target<br>2015/16 | Traffic light<br>(latest<br>actual) | Responsible officer | Latest notes  |
| CdHS9<br>(C)                  | Bucks Home Choice – rolling<br>year on year change in number<br>of applicants (%)                                     | new PI           | data only                   |                 |                 | 34%             |                 |                 | 29%             |                 |                 | 13.70%          |                 |                 |                 | data only                   | n/a                                 | Martin Holt         | Number of "live" applications (i.e. accepted as qualifying for the scheme and able to bid for vacancies) at end of December 2015 was 549. This is an increase of 13.7% on the position at the end of December 2014 (229 applicants)   |
| CdEH2<br>(C)                  | Percentage of food premises<br>(risk rating A to C) that are<br>broadly compliant (snapshot<br>quarterly)             | 92.28%           | 89%                         |                 |                 | 96.00%          |                 |                 | 96.00%          |                 |                 | 96.00%          |                 |                 |                 | 91%                         | V                                   | Martin Holt         |   |
| JtLl3 (C)                     | Percentage of customers satisfied with the licensing service received (annual)  | 67%              | 89%                         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | annual Pl       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 89%                         | ?                                   | Martin Holt         | Reported annually.  |
| JtLI5 (C)                     | Percentages of licences<br>received and issued/renewed<br>within statutory or policy<br>deadlines (cumulative)        | 98%              | 95%                         |                 |                 | 83.20%          |                 |                 | 97.70%          |                 |                 | 98.10%          |                 |                 |                 | 97%                         | <b>V</b>                            | Martin Holt         | 158 out of 161 completed online.  |
| Sustainabl                    | e development   |                  |                             | ,               |                 |                 | ,               |                 | ,               | ,               |                 |                 |                 | ,               |                 |                             |                                     |                     |   |
| Jt <b>©</b> 1<br>(C) <b>D</b> | Applications checked within 10 working days   | 83.64%           | 82%                         | 79.00%          | 86.30%          | 83.05%          | 84.20%          | 88.20%          | 88.30%          | 89.12%          | 90.44%          | 91.08%          |                 |                 |                 | 85%                         | $\square$                           | Peter<br>Beckford   |   |
| D<br>JtE <b>©</b><br>(C)      | Customer satisfaction with the building control service (cumulative)  | 95.16%           | 93%                         | 94.00%          | 94.44%          | 91.23%          | 91.90%          | 92.00%          | 92.70%          | 94.25%          | 93.85%          | 93.33%          |                 |                 |                 | 94%                         | ■                                   | Peter<br>Beckford   | Demanding target. Performance only slightly off target.   |
| CdPP1<br>(C)                  | Net additional homes provided   | 189              | 133                         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | annual Pl       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 133                         | ?                                   | Peter<br>Beckford   | Reported annually.  |
| CdSD7<br>(C)                  | Percentage of planning<br>applicants who are satisfied or<br>very satisfied with the planning<br>service (cumulative) | new PI           | new PI                      |                 |                 | 76.92%          |                 |                 | 77.89%          |                 |                 | 73.91%          |                 |                 |                 | 80%                         | ■                                   | Peter<br>Beckford   | New performance indicator in 2015/16.<br>Target had to be set without any data from 2014/15.  |
| CdSD8<br>(C)                  | Planning appeals allowed (cumulative)   | 39.00%           | 35%                         |                 |                 | 43.33%          |                 |                 | 37.50%          |                 |                 | 40.32%          |                 |                 |                 | 35%                         | •                                   | Peter<br>Beckford   | During the quarter (October to December) a total of 14 appeals were determined by the Planning Inspectorate. Of the 14 appeals determined, 5 were allowed in pul (overturned) and 2 allowed in part. Of the 7 appeals dismissed 2 were for 'Major' developments. This is important for future 'special measures' quality of decisions assessment. |

| KEY                         | ▼ This PI is below target   | This PI is       | slightly belo         | w target        |                 | <b>✓</b> This PI | is on target    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     |                     | 1   |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| PI code                     | Name  | 2014/15<br>Value | Annual target 2014/15 | Apr-15<br>value | May-15<br>value | Jun-15<br>value  | Jul-15<br>value | Aug-15<br>value | Sep-15<br>value | Oct-15<br>value | Nov-15<br>value | Dec-15<br>value | Jan-16<br>value | Feb-16<br>value | Mar-16<br>value | Annual<br>target<br>2015/16 | Traffic light<br>(latest<br>actual) | Responsible officer | Latest notes  |
| CdSD12<br>(C)               | Percentage of new enforcement cases where an initial site visit for an urgent priority case is undertaken within the timescale set out in the Enforcement Policy (cumulative) | 100.00%          | 30%                   |                 |                 | 100.00%          |                 |                 | 100.00%         |                 |                 | 100.00%         |                 |                 |                 | 30%                         | <b>\</b>                            | Peter<br>Beckford   | Resources Overview Committee in Nov 2015 asked that this PI is changed to a mid-month calculation; the enforcement team need to ensure that this is workable and therefore this needs further consideration. If agreed with the Head of Sustainable Development it would become effective as from 1 April 2016. |
| Environme                   | ent   |                  |                       |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     |                     |   |
| CdSE1<br>(C)                | Cumulative CO2 reduction from local authority operations from base year of 2008/09  | 22.00%           | 7.80%                 |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 | annual PI       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 9.10%                       | ?                                   | Martin Holt         | Reported annually.  |
| CdSE2<br>(C)                | Planning to adapt to climate change (5 levels of performance 0=low 5= high)   | 3                | 4                     |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 | annual Pl       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 4                           | ?                                   | Martin Holt         | Reported annually.  |
| JtPF1 (C)                   | Percentage of faults fixed within<br>SLA period (for implementation<br>when new joint contract starts<br>towards end of 2015)   | new PI           | new PI                |                 |                 | n/a              |                 |                 | n/a             |                 |                 | n/a             |                 |                 |                 | t.b.a.                      | n/a                                 | Chris<br>Marchant   | New PI for when the new plant maintenance contract is implemented.  |
| Page                        | Waste customer satisfaction survey  | new PI           | new PI                |                 | 6 monthly       |                  |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 6 monthly       |                 |                 |                 | 86%                         | V                                   | Chris<br>Marchant   | Reported six monthly. September results relate to survey data collected in May 2015. Suggested target is 86%  |
| C <b>N</b> R2<br><b>9</b> ) | Residual household waste kg<br>per household (including used<br>for energy from waste)  | 396.47           | 445.00                |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 | annual Pl       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 445.00                      | ?                                   | Chris<br>Marchant   | Reported annually.  |
| Support se                  | Client satisfaction with the  | 1                |                       |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 1               |                             |                                     |                     |   |
| JtLD1 (C)                   | shared service. Percentage satisfied or very satisfied.   | 100.00%          | 96%                   |                 |                 | 6 monthly        |                 |                 | 90.00%          |                 |                 | 6 monthly       |                 |                 |                 | 94%                         | ■                                   | Joanna Swift        | Work pressures caused delay dealing with a specific case, causing satisfaction to dip.  |
| CdBS1<br>(C)                | Availability of ICT systems to staff from 8am to 6pm (by period)  | 99.00%           | 99%                   |                 |                 | 99.90%           |                 |                 | 99.90%          |                 |                 | 99.80%          |                 |                 |                 | 99.50%                      | $\square$                           | Sim Dixon           |   |
| CdBS2<br>(C)                | Percentage of calls to ICT<br>helpdesk resolved within<br>agreed timescales (by period)   | 85.00%           | 95%                   |                 |                 | 87.50%           |                 |                 | 84.50%          |                 |                 | 82.00%          |                 |                 |                 | 95%                         |                                     | Sim Dixon           | Infrastructure staff dealing with project work continues to impact the resolution of calls in agreed turnaround times.  |
| CdBS3<br>(C)                | Percentage of responses to FOI requests sent within 20 working days (by month)  | new PI           | new PI                | 57.00%          | 82.00%          | 100.00%          | 100.00%         | 100.00%         | 100.00%         | 94.00%          | 90.00%          | tba             |                 |                 |                 | 90%                         | V                                   | Sim Dixon           | Due to deadlines for processing, this will always be reported one month in arrear.  |
| CdF1 (C)                    | Percentage of small businesses paid within 15 days (by period)  | new PI           | new PI                |                 |                 | 80.90%           |                 |                 | 82.60%          |                 |                 | 79.90%          |                 |                 |                 | 90%                         | X                                   | Rodney<br>Fincham   | 183 out of 229 small business invoices paid within 15 days. Note a number of the unpail invoices will have been disputed.   |
| CdLD2<br>(C)                | The percentage response to the annual canvass   | 96.00%           | 94%                   |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 | annual Pl       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 | 94%                         | ?                                   | Joanna Swift        | 1 ' ' <b>(D</b> )   |
| CdLD3<br>(C)                | Percentage of standard<br>searches carried out within five<br>working days (by period)<br>services  | 100.00%          | 100%                  |                 |                 | 100.00%          |                 |                 | 100.00%         |                 |                 | 100.00%         |                 |                 |                 | 100%                        | Ø                                   | Joanna Swift        | 304 qualifying searches received - 304 carried out within 5 working days  |

Page 3 of 4 Classification: OFFICIAL  $\Box$ 

| <u>KEY</u> | ☑ This PI is below target           | This PI is       | s slightly belo             | w target        |                 | ☑ This PI       | is on target    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                             |                                     |                     |  |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| PI code    | Name                                | 2014/15<br>Value | Annual<br>target<br>2014/15 | Apr-15<br>value | May-15<br>value | Jun-15<br>value | Jul-15<br>value | Aug-15<br>value | Sep-15<br>value | Oct-15<br>value | Nov-15<br>value | Dec-15<br>value | Feb-16<br>value | Mar-16<br>value | Annual<br>target<br>2015/16 | Traffic light<br>(latest<br>actual) | Responsible officer | Latest notes   |
|            | New measure for complaints - t.b.a. | new PI           | new PI                      |                 |                 |                 | n/a             |                 |                 |                 | n/a             |                 |                 |                 | t.b.a.                      | n/a                                 | INICOIA FIIIS       | New PI for when the joint customer services team is implemented. |

## Cabinet, 5 April Resources Committee, 23 March

| SUBJECT:    | PI Review 2016/17  |
|-------------|--|
| REPORT OF:  | Leader of the Council – Councillor Isobel Darby          |
| RESPONSIBLE | Acting Chief Executive – Bob Smith                       |
| OFFICER     |  |
| REPORT      | Rachel Prance (01494 732903) Sarah Woods (01494 586 800) |
| AUTHOR      |  |
| WARD/S      | All  |
| AFFECTED    |  |

#### 1. Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the outcomes of the Performance Indicator (PI) review for 2016/2017 and to seek approval for the proposed changes to reporting.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The policy and performance team met with each of the Heads of Service (HoS) and reviewed their PIs in detail to ascertain whether any changes were required to monitor performance. HoS recommendations are included in the appendices.

The following appendices are attached to this report.

**Appendix A** PI Review - Priority PIs 2016-17 - CDC - provides proposals for reporting priority indicators during 2016/17 with future targets.

**Appendix B** PI Review – Corporate Indicators 2016-17 - CDC- provides proposals for reporting Corporate PIs during 2016/17 with future targets.

#### 2. Reasons for Recommendations

Currently, 13 priority indicators are reported on a monthly basis, within the monthly budget pack.

The quarterly performance report includes 32 corporate indicators (formerly selected by MT and Cabinet), as well as the 13 priority indicators. A total of 45 performance indicators are reported quarterly.

Each service unit has been asked to identify 2 to 3 indicators which would be strong and meaningful measures of overall performance, avoiding duplication where they are regularly reported via PAG updates. An exception is Finance, as full reporting is included in the monthly budget packs for each Council so no further PIs were deemed necessary.

Service areas will measure and monitor any remaining PIs which are useful for day to day management of the service, reporting through to PAGs/Committees where appropriate. These are departmental PIs which are not included in the appendices. If any of these PIs indicate potential problems, these will be highlighted to Management Team and where the impact is medium to high, to the portfolio holder.

## Cabinet, 5 April Resources Committee, 23 March

For CDC, HoS are required to log into Covalent and activate PIs after they have been updated by officers. For 2016/17, we propose removing this step from the process as it is not currently done in SBDC and officers are best placed to ensure their covalent entries are correct.

If approved, for 2015/16 there will be 15 priority PIs and 28 additional corporate PIs – a total of 43.

#### 3. Consultation

Not Applicable

#### 4. Options

Not applicable

#### 7. Corporate Implications

**Resources** – The monitoring of progress against performance targets is a useful tool to help monitor the progress the Council is making to improve council aims, improve service delivery, and deliver value for money services for residents.

**Financial** – Performance Management assists in identifying value for money.

**Legal** –None identified.

Risks issues – None identified

#### 8. Links to Council Policy Objectives

Performance management helps to ensure that performance targets set through the service planning process are met and any dips in performance are identified and resolved in a timely manner. This report links to all three of the Council's objectives.

#### 9. Next Step

Cabinet are asked to note Appendix A and approve the proposed changes to the priority performance indicators.

Cabinet are asked to note Appendix B and approve the proposed changes to the corporate performance indicators.

| Background Papers: | <b>Appendix A</b> PI Review - Priority PIs 2016-17 - CDC - provides proposals for reporting priority indicators during 2016/17 with future targets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                    | <b>Appendix B</b> PI Review – Corporate Indicators 2016-17 - CDC-provides proposals for reporting Corporate PIs during 2016/17 with future targets. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix A - Priority Pls 2016-17 - CDC PI REVIEW

| Code     | Title   | Latest result<br>Dec 15 | Target 2015/16<br>(YTD) | Target<br>2016/17 | Target<br>2017/18 | Target<br>2018/19 | Comment  |
|----------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Leader's | - Cllr. Darby   |                         |                         |                   |                   |                   |  |
| CdHR1    | Working days lost due to sickness<br>absence                                  | 8.16                    | 11                      | 9.5               | 9                 | 9                 | CIPD reports 8.7 days for 2015 average level of public sector sickness absence. In reviewing the 2016/17 figure which in 2015/16 we put down as 10 days, we have considered current sickness days as at Jan 16 ie 8.16 and consider that we need to reduce from 10 to 9.5. To reduce to 9 is too much of a risk as sudden long term sickness absences will soon impact on average days given we are a small employer                                   |
| Page 33  | Working days lost due to short term sickness absense (less than 20 days)      | New PI                  | New PI                  | 5                 | 4.5               | 4                 | New KPI CDC short term sickness reported 5.29 days for 15/16 this is indicative figure only because in 15/16 only overall sickness was reported as a KPI. Target set going forward takes account of this and the fact that national data broken down to short & long term is sketchy and not easily comparable. New HR shared service will focus on sickness absence data and we want a downward trend.  |
| NEW PI   | Working days lost due to long term<br>sickness absence (more than 20<br>days) | New PI                  | New Pl                  | 3                 | 3                 | 3                 | New KPI CDC long term sickness reported 3.38 days for 15/16 this is indicative figure only because in 15/16 only overall sickness was reported as a KPI. Target set going forward takes account of this and the fact that national data broken down to short & long term is sketchy and not easily comparable. New HR shared service will focus on sickness absence data and we want to continue to have this low level of long term sickness absence. |

| Code                                   | Title   | Latest result<br>Dec 15 | Target 2015/16<br>(YTD) | Target 2016/17 | Target 2017/18 | Target<br>2018/19 | Comment  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Commun                                 | ity, Health and Housing - Cllr. Harris  |                         |                         |                |                |                   |  |  |
| CdCmSf1                                | Percentage reduction in burglaries from dwellings year on year for Chiltern (monthly) Quarterly   | 2%                      | data only               | data only      | data only      | data only         | Change to quarterly reporting instead of monthly. This PI does not have a target and is data only because Thames Valley Police do not set a target.  |  |
| CdHS1                                  | Number of applicants with/expecting children who have been in B & B accommodation for longer than 6 weeks (snapshot figure at end of month) | 2                       | 0                       | 0              | 0              | 0                 | This is a statutory PI. Target of 0 is realistic – the average in 2015/16 was 1.74  It was higher this year due to appeal against the council's decision by an EU migrant who has now returned home. |  |
| Page 34                                | Number of households living in temporary accommodation (snapshot at the end of the month)   | 28                      | 21                      | 30             | 30             | 25                | No change to PI but target does change   |  |
| Sustainable Development - Cllr. Martin |   |                         |                         |                |                |                   |  |  |
| CdSD2                                  | Special measures: speed of processing major applications, for assessment in Oct/Nov 2016 (cumulative)                                       | 95.24%                  | 51.00%                  | 51.00%         | 51.00%         | 51.00%            | No change  |  |

| Code         | Title   | Latest result<br>Dec 15 | Target 2015/16<br>(YTD) | Target<br>2016/17 | Target<br>2017/18 | Target<br>2018/19 | Comment  |
|--------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| CdSD5        | Special measures: quality of major applications, for assessment in Oct/Nov 2016 (cumulative)        | 6.25%                   | 19.00%                  | 9.90%             | 9.90%             | 9.90%             | Target changed to 9.90% based on Government recommendations. |
| Coppliance 3 | Processing of planning applications:<br>minor applications processed within<br>8 weeks (cumulative) | 81.67%                  | 70.00%                  | 70.00%            | 75.00%            | 75.00%            | No change  |
| CdSD11       | Processing of planning applications: other applications processed within 8 weeks (cumulative)       | 91.71%                  | 92.00%                  | 90.00%            | 90.00%            | 90.00%            | No change  |

| Code     | Title  | Latest result<br>Dec 15 | Target 2015/16<br>(YTD) | Target 2016/17 | Target 2017/18 | Target<br>2018/19 | Comment  |
|----------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| CdWR3    | Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting (cumulative)  | 52.29%                  | 57.00%                  | 58.00%         | 59.00%         | 59.00%            | These targets are based on expansion of recycling services to residents living in flats across the Chiltern area, (which is not yet complete) and the start of focused communication work to encourage residents to recycle more and produce less waste. Work to target areas of low recycling participation and improve the quality of material collected is only just starting to yield results. |
| Customer | Services - Cllr. Wilson  |                         |                         |                |                |                   |  |
| CdRB1    | Speed of processing - new HB/CTB claims (by period monthly)                          | 15.19                   | 18                      | 18             | 18             | 18                | No change  |
| C#B2     | Speed of processing - changes of circumstances for HB/CTB claims (by period monthly) | 4.74                    | 5                       | 5              | 5              | 5                 | No change  |
| CdRB3    | % of Council Tax collected (cumulative)  | 82.69%                  | 99.00%                  | 99.00%         | 99.00%         | 99.00%            | No change  |
| CdRB4    | Percentage of Non-domestic Rates Collected (cumulative)                              | 81.34%                  | 98.00%                  | 98.00%         | 98.00%         | 98.00%            | No change  |

# Appendix B - Corporate Performance Indicators - 2016-17 - CDC PI REVIEW Note: Excludes Priority Performance Indicators - see Appendix A

| Note: Exclu    | ote: Excludes Priority Performance Indicators - see Appendix A  |  |                          |                             |                   |                   |                     |  |  |
|----------------|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| PI code        | Name  | Latest result<br>Dec 15                                  | Annual target<br>2015/16 | Annual<br>target<br>2016/17 | Target<br>2017/18 | Target<br>2018/19 | Responsible officer | Comment  |  |
|                | ortfolio - Cllr. Darby  |  |                          |                             |                   |                   |                     |  |  |
| CdCP1<br>(C)   | Number of unique visitors to the main website (by period)   | 23,610   | data only                | data only                   | data only         | data only         | Rachel Prance       | No change  |  |
| CdHR2<br>(C)   | Voluntary leavers as a % of workforce<br>(extrapolated for the year)  | 20.20%   | 8%                       | 16%                         | 16%               | 16%               | Judy Benson         | CdHR2 (C) Turnover has significantly increased this year HAY predicts 16% figure moving forward over next few years. This was a new KPI for 2015/16 and future targets for 16/17, 17/18 and 18/19 were based on previous low figure ie 8%. We need to increase for 2016/17 and future years to HAY's predicted figure. The employment market is now far more fluid since the economic upturn.The Hay Group is a global management consulting firm with 86 offices in 49 countries. It specialises in transforming organisations, providing professional services relating to people strategy. They regularly publish HR advice on predicted trends relating to all aspects of People Management. |  |
| Communit       | y, health and housing - Cllr. Harris  |  |                          |                             |                   |                   |                     |  |  |
| ageL1<br>CGJ   | Customer satisfaction rating at the Chiltern leisure facilities   | Annual<br>(figure due<br>to be<br>updated<br>April 2016) | t.b.a.                   | 65%                         | 65%               | 65%               | Martin Holt         | No change  |  |
| CdCL2<br>(C)   | Total participation in physical activities delivered through the GLL community engagement plan (by period - annual)   | 2,528  | 6,000<br>(1,500)         | 6,600                       | 7,000             | 7,000             | Martin Holt         | No change  |  |
| CdCL3<br>(C)   | Total number of users at all leisure centres (by period - annual)   | 228,037  | 875,000<br>(218750)      | 900,000                     | 925,000           | 950,000           | Martin Holt         | No change  |  |
| CdCmSf2<br>(C) | Percentage reduction in violent offences against a person, rolling year on year   | 46.10%   | data only                | data only                   | data only         | data only         | Martin Holt         | No change  |  |
| CdHS2<br>(C)   | Number of affordable homes delivered<br>by (i) new build (ii) vacancies generated<br>by local authority scheme (iii)<br>acquisition of existing properties for<br>social housing (cumulative) | 18   | 33<br>(16.50)            | 33                          | 33                | 33                | Martin Holt         | The target is set in the local plan and is realistic. However over a longer period this target has been reached by averaging over a number of years  |  |

Page 1 of 4 Classification: OFFICIAL

| PI code           | Name   | Latest result<br>Dec 15    | Annual target<br>2015/16 | Annual<br>target<br>2016/17 | Target 2017/18 | Target 2018/19       | Responsible officer | Comment  |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| CdHS3i<br>(C)     | Average Length of stay in B & B temporary accommodation for all households (snapshot at end of quarter)                            | 18                         | 5                        | 10                          | 8              | 6                    | Martin Holt         | This is a realistic target. The reason this was high this year was because an EU migrant appealed the decision of the council and has now returned home.               |
| CdHS4<br>(C)      | Number of private sector dwellings vacant for more than 6 months and returned to occupation following local authority intervention | Annual-<br>2014/15-26      | 40                       | <del>40</del>               | <del>40</del>  | 40                   | Martin Holt         | PI to be deleted. No longer required by government. This is monitored at an operational level  |
| CdHS9<br>(C)      | Bucks Home Choice — rolling year on-<br>year change in number of applicants-<br>(%)  | <del>13.70%</del>          | <del>data only</del>     | data only                   | data only      | <del>data only</del> | Martin Holt         | PI to be deleted. This is monitored at an operational level  |
| CHH2<br>CGG<br>38 | Percentage of food premises (risk rating A to C) that are broadly compliant (snapshot quarterly)                                   | 96.00%                     | 91%                      | 96%                         | 96%            | 96%                  | Martin Holt         | Change targets to 96% (previously 95%)   |
| Joint             | Percentage of improved food premises with a Food Hygiene Rating Score that have become broadly compliant (annually)                | NEW PI                     | NEW PI                   | data only                   | data only      | data only            | Martin Holt         | New PI. Baseline: Total number of x premises are below FHR of 3 at 1st April 2016 Improvement; % Number of food premises that have improved above FHR of 3 by year end |
| JtLI3 (C)         | Percentage of customers satisfied with the licensing service received (annual)   | Annual -<br>2014/15<br>67% | 89%                      | 89%                         | 89%            | 89%                  | Martin Holt         | The Healthy Communities team suggest that this is moved to a departmental PI rather than a corporate PI.   |
| ` '               | Percentages of licences received and issued/renewed within statutory or policy deadlines (cumulative)                              | 98.10%                     | 97%                      | 97%                         | 97%            | 97%                  | Martin Holt         | No change  No change   |
|                   | e development - Cllr. Martin   |                            |                          |                             |                |                      |                     |  |
| JtBC1 (C)         | Applications checked within 10 working days  | 91.08%                     | 85%                      | 92%                         | 92%            | 92%                  | Peter Beckford      | No change  |
|                   |  |                            |                          |                             |                |                      |                     | â  |

| PI code                  | Name  | Latest result<br>Dec 15           | Annual target<br>2015/16 | Annual<br>target<br>2016/17 | Target 2017/18 | Target 2018/19 | Responsible officer | Comment   |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| JtBC4 (C)                | Customer satisfaction with the building control service (cumulative)  | 93.33%                            | 94%                      | 92%                         | 92%            | 92%            | Peter Beckford      | Target set at 92% as reflects the recruitment and retention package target.   |
| CdPP1<br>(C)             | Net additional homes provided   | Annual -<br>2014/15 189           | 133                      | 145                         | 145            | 145            | Peter Beckford      | No change   |
| CdSD7<br>(C)             | Percentage of planning applicants who are satisfied or very satisfied with the planning service (cumulative)  | 73.91%                            | 80%                      | 80%                         | 80%            | 80%            | Peter Beckford      | No change   |
| CdSD8<br>(C)             | Planning appeals allowed (cumulative)   | 40.32%                            | 35%                      | 35%                         | 35%            | 35%            | Peter Beckford      | No change   |
| PBD12<br>င်မ္မိခဲ့<br>39 | Percentage of new enforcement cases where an initial site visit for an urgent priority case is undertaken within the timescale set out in the Enforcement Policy (cumulative) | 100%                              | 30%                      | 100%                        | 100%           | 100%           | Peter Beckford      | Target increased to 100%. MT have asked for additional PIs for each council to record priority cases (not just urgent cases). It is not possible to produce PIs which are comparable as Chiltern has 4 categories of priority (including "urgent") which are set out in its enforcement policy, whilst the South Bucks enforcement policy only sets out 3 categories of priority (the highest of which is "high"). Accordingly there will be more "high" priority cases at South Bucks than there will be "urgent" priority cases at Chiltern. On this basis it is not possible to have something directly comparable. MT are asked to consider this and confirm if they still want PIs that record all priority cases. |
| CdSE1<br>(C)             | ent - Cllr. Smith Cumulative CO2 reduction from local authority operations from base year of 2008/09  | Annual -<br>2014/15<br>22%        | 9.10%                    | 11.70%                      | 12.00%         | 12.00%         | Martin Holt         | No change   |
| CdSE2<br>(C)             | Planning to adapt to climate change (5 levels of performance 0=low 5= high)   | Annual -<br>2014/15 3             | 4                        | 4                           | 4              | 4              | Martin Holt         | The Healthy Communities team suggest that this is moved to a departmental PI rather than a corporate PI.  |
| JtPF1 (C)                | Percentage of faults fixed within SLA period (for implementation when new-joint contract starts towards end of 2015)  | n/a                               | t.b.a.                   | t.b.a.                      | t.b.a.         | t.b.a.         | Chris Marchant      | Delete PI. This PI was introduced to measure the new facilities management contract. There is no need to report on this. There are lots of controls within the contract.  |
| CdWR1<br>(C)             | Waste customer satisfaction survey  | 6 monthly -<br>Sept 2015<br>87.8% | 86%                      | 86%                         | 86%            | 86%            | Chris Marchant      | management contract. There is no need to report on this. There are lots of controls within the contract.  No change   |

 $\Box$ 

| PI code                              | Name   | Latest result<br>Dec 15                                  | Annual target<br>2015/16 | Annual<br>target<br>2016/17 | Target 2017/18 | Target 2018/19 | Responsible officer | Comment   |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| CdWR2<br>(C)                         | Residual household waste kg per-<br>household (including used for energy-<br>from waste)   | Annual<br>2014/15-<br>416.6                              | 445.00                   | 440.00                      | 438.00         | 436.00         | Chris Marchant      | Delete PI as priority PI CdWR3 is a more relevant measure of performance.   |
| Support se                           | ervices - CIIr. Stannard   |  |                          |                             |                |                |                     |   |
|                                      | Client satisfaction with the shared legal service. Percentage satisfied or very satisfied. | 6 monthly -<br>Sept 2015<br>90%                          | 94%                      | 96%                         | 98%            | 98%            | Joanna Swift        | No change   |
| (C)                                  | Availability of ICT systems to staff from 7.30 am to 6.30 pm (by period)                   | 99.80%   | 99.50%                   | 99.50%                      | 99.50%         | 99.50%         | Sim Dixon           | This PI will be deleted as Steria are no longer in place for South Bucks and a joint PI will replace this.  |
| CdBS2<br>(C)                         | Percentage of calls resolved within SLA period (by period)                                 | 82.00%   | 95%                      | <del>95%</del>              | 95%            | <del>95%</del> | Sim Dixon           | This PI will be deleted as Steria are no longer in place for South Bucks and a joint PI will replace this.  |
| NEW PI -<br>Joint<br>CDC and<br>SBDC | Availability of ICT systems to staff from 7.30 am to 6.30 pm (by period)                   | NEW PI   | NEW PI                   | 99.50%                      | 99.50%         | 99.50%         | Sim Dixon           | New PI.Ddue to move from Steria to Service Desk that records both SBDC and CDC.   |
| SPPC                                 | Percentage of calls resolved within SLA period (by period)                                 | NEW PI   | NEW PI                   | 95%                         | 95%            | 95%            | Sim Dixon           | New PI.Ddue to move from Steria to Service Desk that records both SBDC and CDC.   |
| CdBS3<br>(C)                         | Percentage of responses to FOI requests sent within 20 working days (by month)             | tba  | 90%                      | 90%                         | 90%            | 90%            | Sim Dixon           | No change   |
| CdF1 (C)                             | Percentage of small businesses paid within 15 days (by period)                             | 79.90%   | 90%                      | 90%                         | 90%            | 90%            | Rodney Fincham      | Delete PI. This PI was introduced in order to monitor the amount of time taken to pay small businesses during the recession. Now that we are in a period of recovery, this PI no longer needs to be a corporate PI, however we will continue to pay small businesses promptly |
| CdLD2<br>(C)                         | Percentage of canvass forms returned   | Annual<br>(figure due<br>to be<br>updated<br>April 2016) | 94%                      | 94%                         | 94%            | 94%            | Joanna Swift        | PI name changed from 'The percentage response to the annual canvass' to 'Percentage of canvass forms returned' for consistency  |
| CdLD3<br>(C)                         | Percentage of standard searches carried out within five working days (by period)           | 100.00%  | 100%                     | 100%                        | 100%           | 100%           | Joanna Swift        | No change  No change  |
| Customer                             | services - CIIr. Wilson  |  |                          |                             |                |                |                     |   |
| CdCS1<br>(C)                         | New measure for complaints - t.b.a.  | t.b.a  | t.b.a.                   | t.b.a.                      | t.b.a.         | t.b.a.         | Nicola Ellis        | No change   |

Item 8

Classification: OFFICIAL

# Chiltern District Council Resources Overview Committee 23 March 2016

| SUBJECT:    | Quarter Three 2015/16 Write Offs        |
|-------------|---|
| REPORT OF:  | Jim Burness, Director of Resources      |
| RESPONSIBLE | Nicola Ellis, Head of Customer Services |
| OFFICER     |   |
| REPORT      | Nicola Ellis - nellis@chiltern.gov.uk   |
| AUTHOR      |   |
| WARD/S      | All                                     |
| AFFECTED    |   |

### 1. Purpose of Report

At its meeting of 19 March 2015 Chiltern District Council Audit Committee agreed changes to the financial procedure rules in respect of write offs. The Committee also requested that a quarterly report on volumes and amounts of write offs be reported to Resources Overview Committee. This report relates to quarter three 2015/16.

## 2. RECOMMENDATION

1. Resources Overview Committee note and comment on the quarter three write offs.

#### 3. Discussion

3.1 During the second quarter there have been a total of 103 amounts written off totalling £35,148.50. These are further broken down as follows:

| Type of Debt   | Numbers | Total      |  |  |
|----------------|---------|------------|--|--|
| Sundry Debts   | 3       | £1,924.98  |  |  |
| HB/CT/CTS      | 20      | £12,724.21 |  |  |
| Overpayments   |         |            |  |  |
| Council Tax    | 76      | £17,595.08 |  |  |
| Business Rates | 4       | £2,904.23  |  |  |

## **Sundry Debts**

3.2 The breakdown of the sundry debts write offs is included as Appendix 1. There are three write offs.

Housing Benefits, Council Tax Benefit and Council Tax Support Overpayments

Item 8

Classification: OFFICIAL

# Chiltern District Council Resources Overview Committee 23 March 2016

3.3 The overpayments written off as irrecoverable are included in Appendix 2. If an overpayment is classified as an official error i.e. an error made by the local authority, DWP or HMRC and the customer cannot be expected to know that they were being overpaid we are unable to seek recovery and these overpayments are classified as irrecoverable. All of the overpayments written off are in this category.

3.4 It should be noted that the Council receives 100% subsidy from central government in respect of these overpayments where the total amount written off does not exceed 0.48% of total expenditure (approximately £90,000).

#### **Council Tax**

- 3.5 Appendix 3 shows the individual write offs in respect of Council Tax in quarter three. There have been 76 amounts written off. 46 of those relate to debit balances and 30 to credits
- 3.6 38 of the 76 write offs relate to small balance write offs. Small credit or debit balances sometimes remain on closed accounts or arise because of rounding issues relating to benefit awards. As these are low amounts ranging from 1p they are uneconomical for the Council to pursue further and so are written off.
- 3.7 22 of the remaining write offs are written off as the debtor has absconded and all efforts to trace them by the Council and by our enforcement agents have failed. Four of the debts are unable to be recovered as the debtor has been given a debt relief order or bankruptcy. Two debts have been written off as the payer has died and there are not enough funds in the estate to pay the bill. Two debts have been written off because of an incorrect calculation of Council Tax Support and the remaining eight write offs are credit write offs where the Valuation Office has retrospectively decreased the banding on a property and we are unable to trace the payer at that time.

# **Business Rates**

3.8 Four business rates debts were written off in the third quarter, shown in Appendix 4. Two of these were in relation to a small debit and a small credit. One was due to insolvency and the other where a director has absconded and there are no company assets remaining.

## 3 Corporate Implications

Item 8

Classification: OFFICIAL

# Chiltern District Council Resources Overview Committee 23 March 2016

4.1 Financial – There is a financial impact on the Council where debts are not recovered, however provision is made in the accounts to accommodate the writing off of bad debts.

# 4 Links to Council Policy Objectives

- 5.1 This report links to the following objective:
  - Delivering cost- effective, customer- focused services

## 6 Next Step

6.1 Members are asked to note the write offs for the third quarter. Another report will be presented to the Committee following the end of quarter four.

| Background | None |
|------------|------|
| Papers:    |      |

# Appendix 1

| Sundry Debtor Write Offs 2015/16 Quarter 3 Ref | Cust No | Inv Date   | Amount   | Type of Debt                  | Reason                           |
|--|---------|------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 355  | 1286    | 30.10.2013 | 280.00   | Building Control Fees         | Debt Collector unable to collect |
| 357  | 1832    | 02.07.2014 | 1,200.00 | Rent Arrears & Remedial Works | Debt Collector unable to collect |
| 406  | 1877    | 26.09.2014 | 444.98   | Rent Guarantee Scheme         | Debt Collector unable to collect |
|  |         | _          | 1.924.98 | -                             |                                  |

| Date     | Claim | Reason   | НВ       |           | CTS |         |
|----------|-------|--|----------|-----------|-----|---------|
| 27/11/15 | 42905 | Rented HS2 property but as a govt tenant she is not entitled to HB should not have been set up | £        | 784.62    |     |         |
| 27/11/15 | 42064 | WTC and CTC incorrect  | £        | 485.50    |     |         |
| 28/11/15 | 35043 | Incorrect earnings and pension calc  | £        | 116.18    |     |         |
| 28/11/15 | 42402 | Non deps not put on claim  | £        | 362.70    |     |         |
| 28/11/15 | 42402 | Non deps not put on claim  |          |           | £   | 30.00   |
| 28/11/15 | 37033 | Payslips incorectly updated  | £        | 139.30    |     |         |
| 28/11/15 | 38842 | Tax credits incorrectly set up   | <u> </u> |           | £   | 87.48   |
| 28/11/15 | 38842 | Tax credits incorrectly set up   |          | £460.76   |     |         |
| 28/11/15 | 11520 | Non deps not put on claim  | £        | 596.24    |     |         |
| 30/11/15 | 22563 | Earnings entered incorrectly   |          |           | £   | 14.20   |
| 30/11/15 | 35966 | Incorrect earnings input LA error  | £        | 460.40    | £   | 105.29  |
| 30/11/15 | 22264 | LA error not recoverable   | £        | 261.66    |     |         |
| 30/11/15 | 41142 | LA error not recoverable   |          | £2,463.39 | £   | 515.64  |
| 30/11/15 | 18869 | LA error system created incorrect premium  | £        | 672.32    | £   | 254.61  |
| 30/11/15 | 27295 | LA error child tax missed as income  | £        | 784.08    | £   | 202.96  |
| 30/11/15 | 40018 | LA error did not include non deps full income  |          | £1,687.00 |     | £215.24 |
| 30/11/15 | 2796  | Debt raised but no notification sent to NOK recoverable but written off                        | £        | 228.38    |     |         |
| 30/11/15 | 41278 | LA error income not input at start of claim  | £        | 656.59    | £   | 226.26  |
| 30/11/15 | 28428 | Debt raised but no notification sent to NOK recoverable but written off                        | £        | 132.79    |     |         |
| 21/01/16 | 37314 | LA error we failed to input tax credit income  | £        | 677.38    | £   | 103.24  |
|          |       |  | £ 1      | ი 969 29  | £ 1 | 75/10   |

£ 10,969.29 £ 1,754.92

| Date                 | Account | Amount     | Reason   |
|----------------------|---------|------------|--|
| 27.11.15             | 1676177 | £62.11     | Payer deceased no funds in estate                        |
| 27.111.13            | 10/01// | 102.11     | Tayer deceased no rands in estate                        |
| 27.11.15             | 1049112 |            | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15             | 2346582 | £25.01     | Absconded  |
| 07 11 15             | 1000220 | C24 07     | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15<br>27.11.15 | 1098329 | -£34.87    | Absconded to Dubai                                       |
| 27.11.15             | 2331864 | £432.78    | Abscollaga to Dubai                                      |
|                      |         |            |  |
| 27.11.15             | 1660901 | £973.84    | Debt relief order  |
|                      |         |            |  |
| 07 44 45             |         | 00.470.04  | Dobt relief and an                                       |
| 27.11.15             | 2274515 |            | Debt relief order  |
| 27.11.15             | 2303406 | -          | Payer made bankrupt                                      |
| 27.11.15             | 2237441 | £170.04    | Absconded Absconded                                      |
| 27.11.15             | 2343316 |            |  |
| 27.11.15             | 2321301 | ,          | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2163367 | £492.51    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2337624 | £322.61    | Absconded  |
| 27 11 15             | 1939072 | C124 72    | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15             | 1939072 | -£134.73   | band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15             | 1802748 | -£368.66   | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15             | 2372911 | £525.39    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.13             | 23/2911 | 1323.33    | Abscolided   |
| 27.11.15             | 1927877 | -£68.86    | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15             | 1825551 | £141.59    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2188752 | £1,524.00  | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2236132 | £750.15    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2291030 | £866.67    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             |         |            | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 1355087 | £228.43    | Absconded  |
|                      |         |            |  |
| 27.11.15             | 1647455 | -£174.28   | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
|                      |         |            |  |
| 27.11.15             | 1439599 | -£764.10   | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
| 27.11.15             | 2152097 | £1,157.53  | Debt relief order  |
| 27.11.15             | 2220446 | £629.00    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 1912113 | £303.58    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 1779777 | £1,518.39  | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2343728 | 159.54     | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2004702 | £174.44    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2311037 | £767.60    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2342956 | £376.51    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 2171256 | £598.65    | Absconded  |
| 27.11.15             | 1049159 | -£1,240.62 | Band reduction no forwarding address                     |
|                      |         |            | Error on Council Tax Support calculation non             |
| 28.11.15             | 2343675 | £286.80    | recoverable  |
| 28.11.15             | 1347975 | £46.43     | Error on Council Tax Support calculation non recoverable |
| 02.12.15             | 1930064 | £46.43     | Small debit  |
| 02.12.13             | 1530004 | £17.00     | Siliali debit  |

Classification: OFFICA SENSITIVE [PERSONAL]

| 02.12.15 | 1839971 | -£1.63    | Small debit                                |
|----------|---------|-----------|--|
| 02.12.15 | 2282629 | £2.94     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 1666127 | £8.78     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2312936 | £8.79     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2237906 | £1.87     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 1295401 | -£1.31    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1338113 | -£12.74   | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1370647 | -£0.01    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1768679 | -£3.91    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1978880 | -£3.84    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2041563 | -£1.01    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2077764 | -£2.59    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2202596 | -£0.51    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2218513 | -£0.39    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2304493 | -£3.85    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2314051 | -£5.29    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2322156 | -£0.01    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2354364 | -£0.58    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1493802 | -£3.54    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2349515 | £1.31     | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2357727 | £1.92     | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1314199 | £0.01     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 1346737 | £3.03     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2305096 | £0.26     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2118878 | £0.27     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2301450 | £13.84    | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2332663 | £4.39     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 1792533 | £7.40     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2280388 | -£1.11    | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 2350602 | £3.82     | Small debit                                |
| 02.12.15 | 1267844 | -£0.01    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1347661 | -£3.32    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1758170 | -£1.53    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 1849950 | -£0.11    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2006777 | -£2.78    | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2052904 | £0.01     | Small credit                               |
| 02.12.15 | 2286765 | -£1.56    | Small credit                               |
| 30.11.15 | 1345983 | £1,092.63 | Hardship deceased payer no funds in estate |

£17,595.08

| Date     | Account | Amount    | Reason                           |
|----------|---------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|          |         |           | Director absconded to Ireland no |
| 27.11.15 | 822659  | £2,250.28 | company assets                   |
| 27.11.15 | 822222  | £ 662.62  | Insolvency                       |
| 02.12.15 | 791566  | -£ 8.68   | Small credit                     |
| 02.12.15 | 798248  | £ 0.01    | Small credit                     |

£2,904.23